Channel Coding

## Recap...

$\square$ Information is transmitted through channels (eg. Wires, optical fibres and even air)
$\square$ Channels are noisy and we do not receive what was transmitted

## System Model

- A Binary Symmetric Channel

$\square$ Crossover with probability $p$


## Repetition Coding

$\square$ Assume $1 / 3$ repetition
$0 \rightarrow 000$
$\square$ What is the probability of $1 \rightarrow 111$ error?

$$
P_{e}={ }^{3} C_{2} p^{2}(1-p)+p^{3}
$$

$\square$ If crossover probability $p=0.01, \mathrm{Pe} \approx$ 0.0003
$\square$ Here coding rate $R=1 / 3$. Can we do better? How much better?

## Shannon's Theorem

$\square$ Given,

- A noisy channel (some fixed p)
- A value of Pe which we want to achieve
"We can transmit through the channel and achieve this probability of error at a maximum coding rate of $C(p)^{\prime \prime}$
$\square$ Is it counterintuitive?
$\square$ Do such good codes exist?


## Channel Capacity

$\square \mathrm{C}(p)$ is called the channel capacity
$\square$ For binary symmetric channel,

$$
C(p=0.01)=0.9192
$$

$\square$ Can we really design codes that achieve this rate? How?

## Parity Check Codes

$\square$ \#information bits transmitted $=k$
$\square$ \#bits actually transmitted $=n=k+1$
$\square$ Code Rate $R=k / n=k /(k+1)$
$\square$ Error detecting capability $=1$
$\square$ Error correcting capability $=0$

## 2-D Parity Check

## $\square$ Rate?

$\square$ Error detecting capability?

- Error correcting capability?


Bottom row consists of check bit for each column

## Linear Block Codes

$\square$ \#parity bits $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}$ ( $=1$ for Parity Check)
$\square$ Message $m=\left\{m_{1} m_{2} \ldots m_{k}\right\}$
$\square$ Transmitted Codeword $c=\left\{c_{1} c_{2} \ldots c_{n}\right\}$
$\square$ A generator matrix $G_{k x n}$

$$
c=m G
$$

$\square$ What is G for repetition code?
$\square$ For parity check code?

## Linear Block Codes

$\square$ Linearity

$$
c_{1} \oplus c_{2}=\left(m_{1} \oplus m_{2}\right) G
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}=m_{1} G \\
& c_{2}=m_{2} G
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ Example : 4/7 Hamming Code - $k=4, n=7$

- 4 message bits at $(3,5,6,7)$
- 3 parity bits at $(1,2,4)$
- Error correcting capability $=1$
- Error detecting capability = 2
- What is G ?


## Cyclic codes

$\square$ Special case of Linear Block Codes
$\square$ Cyclic shift of a codeword is also a codeword

- Easy to encode and decode,
- Can correct continuous bursts of errors
- CRC (used in Wireless LANs), BCH codes, Hamming Codes, Reed Solomon Codes (used in CDs)


## Convolutional Codes

$\square$ Block codes require a buffer
$\square$ What if data is available serially bit by bit? Convolutional Codes

- Example
$\mathrm{k}=1$
$\mathrm{n}=2$
Rate $R=1 / 2$



## Convolutional Codes

$\square$ Encoder consists of shift registers forming a finite state machine
$\square$ Decoding is also simple - Viterbi Decoder which works by tracking these states
$\square$ First used by NASA in the voyager space programme
$\square$ Extensively used in coding speech data in mobile phones

## Achieving Capacity

$\square$ Do Block codes and Convolutional codes achieve Shannon Capacity? Actually they are far away
$\square$ Achieving Capacity requires large $k$ (block lengths)
$\square$ Decoder complexity for both codes increases exponentially with k - not feasible to implement

## Turbo Codes

$\square$ Proposed by Berrou \& Glavieux in 1993
$\square$ Advantages


- Use very large block lengths
- Have feasible decoding complexity
- Perform very close to capacity
$\square$ Limitation - delay, complexity


## Summary

$\square$ There is a limit on the how good codes can be
$\square$ Linear Block Codes and Convolutional Codes have traditionally been used for error detection and correction
$\square$ Turbo codes in 1993 introduced a new way of designing very good codes with feasible decoding complexity

